








Transfer Policy Proposal Survey

The ARIN Advisory Council is working on an update to [policy proposal 2008-2](#) for discussion online and at the [ARIN XXII Public Policy Meeting](#) in Los Angeles in October. The AC is already considering input from the Denver meeting, the Caribbean Sector meeting in Jamaica, from PPML and will include input from the upcoming September [Caribbean Sector meeting](#) in the Bahamas.





In an effort to obtain feedback from a wider audience, the AC invited all subscribers to the [ARIN Public Policy Mailing List](#) to complete a survey, the results of which are presented below. The information gathered is presented to help the community determine whether any liberalized transfer policy is viable and if so, in what form.

1. Should a transfer policy have an expiration date (i.e. 3 years after adoption)?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes 	44.5%	85
No 	55.5%	106
answered question		191
skipped question		11



2. Should a transfer policy allow transfer of legacy space, ordinary allocations, or both?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Legacy 	6.0%	12
Ordinary 	11.9%	24
Both 	82.1%	165
answered question		201
skipped question		1

3. If an address holder wants to transfer part of their legacy address holdings, should they be required to sign a Legacy RSA first?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes 	57.1%	113
No 	42.9%	85
answered question		198
skipped question		4



4. How long must a recipient of space under the policy hold it before space can be transferred to another party?

	Response Percent	Response Count
2 years 	27.9%	56
1 year 	37.3%	75
6 months 	10.9%	22
No time restriction 	23.9%	48
answered question		201
skipped question		1

5. Should a policy require pre-qualification of address holders wishing to transfer space?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes 	51.0%	98
No 	49.0%	94
answered question		192
skipped question		10




6. Should a policy require pre-qualification of address need for requesters wishing to receive space via the transfer policy?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes 	71.9%	143
No 	28.1%	56
answered question		199
skipped question		3



7. Should ARIN staff be given discretion over limited deaggregation of blocks to be transferred in order to ensure an adequate supply of smaller blocks for those requesting space?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes 	74.6%	144
No 	25.4%	49
answered question		193
skipped question		9



8. Should a current holder be allowed limited deaggregation, full deaggregation down to /22, or no deaggregation at all?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Limited deaggregation 	58.0%	112
No limits 	29.0%	56
No deaggregation 	13.0%	25
answered question		193
skipped question		9

9. Should a requester be limited in how frequently they can request new address space (for example, in order to limit deaggregation)?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes 	53.0%	105
No 	47.0%	93
answered question		198
skipped question		4

10. Should ARIN operate a voluntary listing service to help qualified address holders and requesters find one another?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes 	80.0%	160
No 	20.0%	40
answered question		200
skipped question		2

11. Given a policy proposal consistent with your answers above, would you support a liberalized transfer policy?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes 	86.7%	169
No 	13.3%	26
answered question		195
skipped question		7

Summary

Number of Persons Contacted (PPML Subscribers)	1,805
Number of Persons Responding	202
Percent Responding	11.19%