



Draft Policy ARIN-2014-5

Remove 7.2 Lame Delegations

- **2014-5 History**

- Origin: ARIN-prop-197 (Jan 2014)
- AC Shepherds: Stacy Hughes, Rob Seastrom
- AC accepted as Draft Policy in January 2014
- Draft Policy text
 - Online & in Discussion Guide
 - https://www.arin.net/policy/proposals/2014_5.html

- **2014-5 – Work in Progress**

- Posted to PPML and presented for community discussion

- Advisory Council needs your feedback:

- Is it good number policy?

- Fair and Impartial?

- Technically Sound?

- Supported by the Community?

- Should the AC continue to work on this or get rid of it?

- **Next: AC presentation**

Problem Statement

- This proposal is a NRPM clean-up
- Section 7.2 was established a decade ago but the audit functions it requires were never really implemented.
- Lameness in in-addr is orthogonal to demonstrated need, and as such oughtn't be in policy.
- How to run whois, irr component, etc. likewise not in policy.

Policy Statement

- Remove 7.2 in its entirety.

Discussion

- Generally, operational practice does not go in the NRPM. Is there something special about in-addr.arpa that makes it different?
- If so, does that extend to ip6.arpa?
- Properly-running in-addr, like choice of upstream provider, may affect an ISP's end user experience, but is that any of ARIN's business?