Draft Policy ARIN-2014-5
Remove 7.2 Lame Delegations
• **2014-5 History**
  
  – Origin: ARIN-prop-197 (Jan 2014)
  – AC Shepherds: Stacy Hughes, Rob Seastrom
  – AC accepted as Draft Policy in January 2014
  – Draft Policy text
    • Online & in Discussion Guide
    • https://www.arin.net/policy/proposals/2014_5.html
• 2014-5 – Work in Progress
  – Posted to PPML and presented for community discussion
  – Advisory Council needs your feedback:
    • Is it good number policy?
      – Fair and Impartial?
      – Technically Sound?
      – Supported by the Community?
    • Should the AC continue to work on this or get rid of it?
  – Next: AC presentation
Problem Statement

• This proposal is a NRPM clean-up
• Section 7.2 was established a decade ago but the audit functions it requires were never really implemented.
• Lameness in in-addr is orthogonal to demonstrated need, and as such oughtn’t be in policy.
• How to run whois, irr component, etc. likewise not in policy.
Policy Statement

• Remove 7.2 in its entirety.
Discussion

• Generally, operational practice does not go in the NRPM. Is there something special about in-addr.arpa that makes it different?
• If so, does that extend to ip6.arpa?
• Properly-running in-addr, like choice of upstream provider, may affect an ISP’s end user experience, but is that any of ARIN’s business?