

Policy Development Process (PDP) and the Importance of Participation

High Level Look

- Policy Rules for managing number resources
- PDP Cycle
 - 1. Need
 - 2. Discussion
 - 3. Consensus
 - 4. Implementation
 - 5. Evaluation



History

- Derived from IETF Process
- Before 2001 less formal
- 1 April 2001 formal process
 - Documented process
 - Numbered for tracking
- 22 January 2004 updated
 - AC initial review and author petition
 - Proposal template and instructions
- 28 March 2006 updated
 - AC initial review at regularly scheduled meetings
- 7 January 2009 updated



The New PDP

- Empowers the AC as a developmental body
- Expanded petition process
- Requires
 - Staff Clarity and Understanding reviews
 - Staff and Legal Reviews
- Freezes proposal text



Purpose and Scope

- Good Policy
 - Designed to bring forth clear, technically sound and useful policy.

- Out of scope:
 - Step-by-step processes
 - Defining services to be offered by ARIN
 - Defining or establishing ARIN fees



Principles

- Open All policies are developed in an open forum where anyone may participate.
- Transparent All aspects of the PDP are documented and publicly available via the ARIN website.
- Bottom-up All policies are developed by the ARIN community from the bottom up.



Philosophy

- Policy statements must be clear, complete and concise.
- Fair Distribution Resources are distributed based on demonstrated need.
- Technical Requirements The operational environment (the way resources are actually used on the Internet) must be considered.
- Administration Policies must enable neutral, impartial, and consistent resource management.



Terms

- Proposal A policy idea submitted using the policy proposal template.
- Draft Policy AC-developed from proposals, reviewed by ARIN staff and legal counsel, and posted for discussion on the PPML.
- Policy A draft policy with community and AC support that has been adopted by the Board of Trustees.



Policy Proposal (Need)

- Submittal Anyone, anytime (except Board and ARIN staff)
- Posted for Community discussion
- Clarity and Understanding
 - ARIN staff and originator (10 days), staff reports to AC
- AC decides how to proceed



Draft Policy (Need)

- AC evaluates and develops proposals to create draft policy
- Goal Clear, technically sound, and useful policy
- Must be submitted for ARIN staff and legal assessment



Discussion

- At least 35 days on PPML for Community discussion
- Text frozen 10 days prior to Public Policy Meeting
- Public Policy Meeting
 - Presented by AC to the Community



Consensus

- AC controls draft policies
- Discussion Evaluation AC decides what to do
 - within 30 days of PPM
 - Last call, abandon, return to AC's docket
- Last Call Supported draft policies posted to PPML for at least 10 days for Community review
- Last Call Review AC determines consensus

Board of Trustees Review

- Review Includes:
 - Fiduciary risk
 - Liability risk
 - Legal conformity
 - Developed following PDP
 - Adheres to Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws
- Adopt, reject, or remand



Implementation

- ARIN staff:
 - Updates NRPM with adopted policy
 - Publishes new version of NRPM
 - Implements new policy



Evaluation

- What happened?
- ARIN staff reports on implementation to the community



Petitions

- Who can petition Anyone dissatisfied with an AC decision
- Why to petition To move proposal or draft policy forward to next step in PDP
- What it takes 10 people, 10 organizations, 5 days



Special Policy Actions

 Board may temporarily create and/or suspend policy



Number Resource Policy Manual

- The ARIN policy set
- Change log



Under Discussion (10 Feb 08)

Draft Policies

- Whois Integrity Policy Proposal
- Community Networks IPv6 Allocation

Policy Proposals

- IPv4 Recovery Fund
- Depleted IPv4 reserves
- Allocation of IPv4 Blocks to Regional Internet Registries





Thank You