



ONTARIO
TORONTO
18-21.APR.2010

/24 End User Minimum Assignment Unit

Draft Policy 2010-2

2010-2 - History

Origin (Proposal 99)	3 August 2009
Draft Policy	21 January 2010
Revised/Current Version	2 March 2010

AC Shepherds:

Owen DeLong

Dan Alexander

2010-2 – Summary

(/24 End User Minimum Assignment Unit)

1. Reduces the multihomed end-user IPv4 minimum assignment from /22 to /24
2. End-users receiving less than a /22 from ARIN must renumber if they come back for additional address space

2010-2 – Status at the other RIRs (/24 End User Minimum Assignment Unit)

- **Draft policy is unique to ARIN**
- **Current policy:**
 1. **AfriNIC**
/24 minimum
 2. **APNIC**
No minimum
 3. **LACNIC**
/24 minimum
 4. **RIPE NCC**
No minimum

2010-2 – Staff Assessment

Legal: Liability Risk?	No
Staff Comments: Issues/Concerns? The policy text uses inconsistent terminology when it refers to prefix sizes; it says “blocks smaller than /24” and “when prefixes are assigned which are longer than /20”. The terminology should be adjusted so that it uses the same terminology for cidr prefixes consistently throughout the policy.	Yes
Implementation: Resource Impact?	Minimal

Assessment available:

- Discussion Guide
- <http://lists.arin.net/pipermail/arin-ppml/2010-January/016216.html>

2010-2 – PPML Discussion

- **34 posts by 10 People**
- **7 in favor, 0 against**
- “If I could get a /23 or /24 I would be in heaven. We are multi-homed today behind 2 ISPs and we have to get our /24s from our ISP's ip space. That makes a lot of hoops to jump through to get another ISP to advertise a competing ISPs space.”
- “[A]t least in theory this proposal -reduces- the routing table load due to small multihomed organizations.”



ONTARIO
TORONTO
18-21.APR.2010

/24 End User Minimum Assignment Unit

Draft Policy 2010-2