



Transfer Policy

Draft Policy 2009-1

2009-1 - History

Draft Policy	24 MAR 09 (Board of Trustees Emergency PDP Action)
Discussion Period Extended thru ARIN XXIII	3 APR 09
Staff and Legal Assessment	21 APR 09
Current Version	24 MAR 09

AC Shepherds:
Dan Alexander
David Farmer

RIR Activity	Similar Proposals	
	AfriNIC	NA
	APNIC	Last Call
	LACNIC	Discussion
	RIPE NCC	Adopted

2009-1 - Summary

- Defines "Organization"
- Modifies structure of transfer policy section
- Changes policy for designating recipients (2008-6)
 - Removes sunset clause
 - Requires resource holder and recipient be within ARIN region
 - Changes applicability from IPv4-only to all number resources

2009-1 - Staff Assessment

<p>Legal: Liability Risk?</p> <p>“The proposed policy significantly reduces legal risks, fees and expenses for ARIN. ... Since this policy, if completed, can be amended or repealed at any point, and sunset provisions have not been routinely utilized to require reevaluation of controversial ARIN policies, the absence of a sunset provision is legally preferable.”</p>	<p>Reduces risk</p>
<p>Staff Comments: Issues/Concerns?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Changes working definition of “organization”– Transfers of /24s and /23s still prohibited (same text as in 2008-6)	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Staff Implementation: Resource Impact?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Guidelines, training, rewrite internal registration procedures (due to “organization” change)– Implementation within 90 days after ratification by the Board	<p>Moderate</p>

Assessment available at:

<http://lists.arin.net/pipermail/arin-ppml/2009-April/013754.html>

2009-1 - PPML Discussion

Posts	People
208	43

- **None in favor, 17 against**

1. The one item that was removed was the sunset clause... Every policy really has a sunset clause implicitly built into them.
2. [C]onsensus was that it should exclusively apply for IPv4 resources only.
3. I have and will continue to express my opposition to any policy that permits a privatized IP market by allowing peer to peer transfers without submitting the IP blocks for fair distribution to the community.
4. I OPPOSE this policy because I do not see a demonstrated need to exercise emergency powers where the normal process would suffice. This is strictly my opinion based on the policy itself.
5. I am against 2009-1 as written, and would oppose any version which includes the redefinition of an organization except as a standalone proposal.
6. I'm totally lost but maybe someone can help. I'm... thinking about changing my Internet provider. When I change providers can my IP addresses follow me?



Transfer Policy

Draft Policy 2009-1