

# Policy Proposal 2007-17

## Legacy Outreach and Partial Reclamation



# Policy Proposal 2007-17 History

<b>Introduced on PPML</b>	<b>29 JUN 07</b>
<b>Designated Formal Proposal</b>	<b>28 AUG 07</b>
<b>First PPM Discussion</b>	<b>ARIN XX</b>
<b>Last Revision</b>	<b>17 SEP 07</b>

Proposal Text In Meeting Packet  
[http://www.arin.net/policy/2007\\_17.html](http://www.arin.net/policy/2007_17.html)

# Policy Proposal 2007-17

## Description

- ARIN staff understands that the proposal would modify NRPM Section 4.6. Ignoring the parts that concern fees and waivers, the proposal would change the current policy by changing the timeframe for returning address space to ARIN.

\*\*\*\*\*

### AC Shepherds

- ➔ Cathy Aronson
- ➔ Lea Roberts

# Policy Proposal 2007-17

## PPML Discussion\*

- 1 for, 1 against
- Comments:

Posts	People
11	5

- ⇒ I support 2007-17. I specifically like the "no RSA" part of this proposal.
- ⇒ I OPPOSE 2007-17. The Legacy RSA proposes additional benefits for the return of IP resources
- ⇒ "I'm not convinced this is the best way to go about it."

\*Prior to being a formal proposal there were about 56 posts by 18 people with 2 in favor and none against.

# Policy Proposal 2007-17

## Legal Assessment\*

"I have reviewed this policy and believe it poses no significant risk of litigation by outside parties.

However, in my non-legal opinion, acting as counselor to the Board and AC, the policy does something I have never previously seen and encroaches on how ARIN has operated by custom.

To date, the ARIN Board of Trustees has unilaterally debated and set the rates of payment for any ARIN services. Overall, this policy proposal substitutes a policy with specific numerical promises. This would impinge on the Board's ability to holistically adjust such economic numbers, for example, to create a new incentive by going even further than the policy, or less than the policy to achieve its aims. The author and AC might consider substitution of an alternative draft policy that gives strong directional adjectival guidance to the Board, but does not contain specific amounts. For example, and I believe consistent with the proposed policy, the policy adopted can make clear the community is sending clear guidance that the economic inducements for legacy address holders to sign a new and publicly available alternative RSA for legacy holders can be accomplished more deftly by providing an RSA, not a policy. The discussion approved to accompany the policy can contain non-binding but specific recommendations for this purpose, which the Board would probably welcome. An RSA is a contract. ARIN can unilaterally bind itself in such contracts, promising consistent future terms, including any promise ARIN chooses to make to not charge for certain services. But the RSA can also be phased out, not impacting contracted parties, but not be available for future parties who do not sign up. Such flexibility in the RSA, with the Board following aspirational policy is a correct direction for the continued development of this proposal."

\* October 2007

## Policy Proposal 2007-17 Staff Comments\*

- There is currently an aggregation policy in NRPM 4.7. This proposal seems to be confusing and perhaps contradicting that existing policy. Does this proposal replace the existing aggregation policy 4.7 in NRPM?

\* October 2007

# Policy Proposal 2007-17 Implementation Assessment\*

- Resource Impact: Minimal
- Implementation: 30 to 90 days after BoT ratification
- Implementation Requirements:
  - ⇒ Updates to Registration Services Guidelines will be required
  - ⇒ Staff training will be required
  - ⇒ Tracking tools for the return of the space

\* October 2007

# Policy Proposal 2007-17

## Presentation and Discussion