

# Policy Proposal 2006-1

## Residential Customer Privacy



## Policy Proposal 2006-1 Description

- Change current policy to no longer require entire address for residential customers.

\*\*\*\*\*

### AC Shepherds

- ⇒ Marla Azinger
- ⇒ Paul Andersen

# Policy Proposal 2006-1 History

<b>Introduced on PPML</b>	<b>18 JAN 06</b>
<b>Designated Formal Proposal</b>	<b>26 JAN 06</b>
<b>First PPM Discussion</b>	<b>ARIN XVII</b>
<b>Subsequent PPM Discussion</b>	
<b>Last Revision</b>	

Proposal Text  
In Meeting Packet  
[http://www.arin.net/policy/2006\\_1.html](http://www.arin.net/policy/2006_1.html)

# Policy Proposal 2006-1 Implementation Assessment – March 2006

**Resource Impact: Significant**

**Implementation Requirements:**

- **Template Change**
- **Registration Software Change**
- **Guidelines Change**
- **Staff Training**
- **Directory Services Change**

# Policy Proposal 2006-1

## Legal Assessment – March 2006

### Legal Risks: None

**“However, this type of policy may have a series of impacts in different portions of the Internet community. Let me give a single example: Law enforcement authorities have a desire for real time ability to obtain information of the type that will now be masked. This may increase the burden on ISP's who must be correspondingly contacted more often to obtain such information. The government's might wish a different policy. Issues of customer privacy and how ARIN's policies impact such issues remains an area of ongoing legal concern and attention.”**

# Policy Proposal 2006-1

## Staff Comment

### Comments:

- ➔ The proposal as written could be interpreted to mean that an organization has the option to no longer provide city, state, zip, and country information on the reassignment template.
- ➔ ARIN must continue to collect this information in order to perform verification of reassignment information and utilization.
- ➔ ARIN could not implement the proposal as written and continue to perform verification of reassignment information and utilization.

# Policy Proposal 2006-1

## PPML Discussion

- 2 for, 2 against, 2 for clarifying that neither the +5 of ZIP+5 nor latter half of Canadian zip codes should be required.

- **Comments:**

- ⇒ "Privacy shouldn't be compromised because you or others want demographic information."
- ⇒ "...option for complete privacy should exist."
- ⇒ "Honestly, I would be content to see a policy that allows an ISP to go full NDA with ARIN and provide reassignment information to ARIN on a private basis."

Posts	People
27	11

## Policy Proposal 2006-1

**[http://www.arin.net/policy/2006\\_1.html](http://www.arin.net/policy/2006_1.html)**