IETF Activities Update



Note

This presentation is not an official IETF report

- There is no official IETF Liaison to ARIN or any RIR
- This is all my opinion and my view and I am not covering everything just highlights
- You should know I like funny quotes
- I hope you enjoy it
- Your feedback is greatly appreciated



Initial Take-Aways

- After 10 years folks are much grayer
- *RFC 6177 new recommendations for IPv6 assignments to end sites.
- Re: X.400"It went from the technology of the future to the technology of the past without ever becoming the technology of the present." Harold A.
- Interesting talk by Jim Geddys about Bufferbloat
 - http://ietf80streaming.dnsalias.net/ietf80/ietf80 -ch4-wed-am.mp3



ISOC IPv6 Workshop

- This was a non-IETF event put on by ISOC.
- It was a panel to discuss what milestones we'll use to determine if we're there yet
- * Talks by TW Cable, Telephonica, CZ NIC, RIPE NCC



Some Discussion topics

- Wait til IPv4 is on the verge of collapse and then folks will move quicker
- CGN breaks gaming and other apps.
- The big guys deploying IPv6 have more impact. Right now the little guys can't get transit
- Most home gateways don't support IPv6. So today 99% of TW Cable's customers can't get IPv6
- How to measure? Maybe a route6 object pingable IPv6 address



ISOC IPv6 Workshop Continued

- *v6 enabled ASNs, v6asns.ripe.net, Global average is 9%
 - Czech republic has 9% and Holland 35%
- \$\psi 40\% of LIRs in RIPE have IPv6
- RIPE has a measure of IPv6 RIPEness.
 - Reverse DNS, v6 in route registry, etc
 - No measurement of actual traffic yet
 - Reward is a t-shirt and a star in the database
- ◆ CZ NIC 20% of domains have AAAA for domain records



Internet Area

- New Draft to say that new IP implementations MUST support IPv6.
 - MUST NOT require IPv4
 - IETF should stop work on IPv4 only protocols.
 - Current implementations SHOULD support IPv4
 - Support for v4 and v6 MUST be equivalent
- On Demand IPv4 provisioning in dual-stack
 - This may free up unused IPv4 addresses
 - May be too complex and not worth it
- Other interesting discussions of address sharing and the need to support v4 and v6

RENUM BOF

- Trying to decide whether to become a working group
- Would be chartered with writing documents to help renumber networks and design networks to facilitate renumbering.
- Lots of concerns since the existing renumbering RFC isn't used.
- Possibly break down problem to the components that would need to be renumbered.
- "Renumbering is hard, let's go shopping"



V6 Operations (V6OPS)

- Geoff Huston gave an interesting presentation about the brokenness.
 - 20x more folks who could use v6 who don't
 - 6to4 is being de-pref'd by browsers
 - 150ms penalty on every RTT
 - "auto-tunneling sucks worse than you think"
 - "badness clumps"
 - 10%-20% of all 6to4 connections fail
 - 38% of Teredo connections fail
 - end systems can't hop over brokenness in provider's network
 - To ISPs. if you're not doing IPv6 on the wire then customers can't



Routing Area Working Group

- LFA draft last call
- Multicast Only Fast Re-route.
- A guy from Reuters presented OSPF TE Express path
- Routing Area WG up to date information can be found here
 - http://tools.ietf.org/area/rtg



Secure Inter-Domain Routing (sidr)

- This group met at the very end of the week.
 There is great progress with securing BGP.
- The drafts are well on their way to RFC for verifying the advertiser of a route
- Work now being done on verifying the path.
- http://tools.ietf.org/wg/sidr/
- Note: This is coming and everyone should think about it when sizing new border routers.

IPv6 Maintenance WG (6man)

- 6Man Docs available here.
 - http://tools.ietf.org/wg/6man/
 - There are a number of drafts on flow labels. These can be used as a trigger for load balancing, sharing, etc.
 - IPv6 Node Requirements RFC 4294-bis
 - IPv6 Extension Headers
 - Consistent format has consensus
 - Extension headers do not
 - "I never thought we'd have almost no deployment of IPv6 and someone saying that we can't do something in the non-existent deployed base" anonymous



Benchmarking Methodology WG

- Happy Eyeballs methodology to test if dual stack hosts are working properly
- Software update time
- Power usage
- Working Group info here http://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/bmwg/



DNS Operations (DNSOP)

- DNSSEC is being deployed (yay) and now they're working on docs to help with this.
- Operational practices
- Trust anchors
- As well as delegations for IPv6
- http://tools.ietf.org/wg/dnsop/



Global Routing Operations (GROW)

- Talk about filtering recommendations
- Survey of route flap dampening
- Virtual Aggregation
- FIB Aggregation
- Info is found here
 - http://tools.ietf.org/wg/grow/



BEHAVE WG

- * This group is all about address translation.
- * DNS 64 Status
- CGN Requirements
- Analysis of NAT-PT
- Several other NAT and CGN Presentations
- Current info is available here
 - http://tools.ietf.org/wg/behave/

References

- General WG Info:
 - http://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/ (Easiest to use)
- Internet Drafts:
 - http://tools.ietf.org/html
- IETF Daily Dose (quick tool to get an update):
 - http://tools.ietf.org/dailydose/
- Upcoming meeting agenda:
 - http://tools.ietf.org/agenda
- Upcoming BOFs Wiki:
 - http://tools.ietf.org/bof/trac/wiki
- Also IETF drafts now available as ebooks
 - http://www.fenron.net/~fenner/ietf/ietf-ebooks



It was a long week!



