Draft Policy 2011-1
Globally Coordinated Transfer Policy

Original Authors:

Chris Grundeman, Martin Hannigan, Jason Schiller

AC Shepherds:

Bill Darte, Rob Seastrom

History

- Policy Proposal 119: October 11, 2010
- ARIN Staff Review: October 25, 2010
  - Wording changes and considerations,
  - e.g. modify "Member" to resource registrant
  - e.g. consider the vagueness of "exercise Internet stewardship and the values expressed in RFC 2050"
  - e.g. seems as though ARIN not intermediary

- Revised by author: October 27, 2010
- Accepted onto AC Docket: October 27,2010
- Current language adopted December 23, 2010

Draft Policy Text

- Version/date: 23 December 2011

- Policy statement:

- **Any RIR's resource registrant may transfer IPv4 addresses to the resource registrant of another RIR as long as the two RIRs agree and maintain compatible, needs-based transfer policies that exercise Internet stewardship consistent with the values expressed in RFC2050**.

- Rationale: Since individual RIRs now allow transfers, it makes sense to be able to transfer between regions as well.

- Timetable for implementation: upon ratification by the ARIN Board of Trustees

Biggest Issues

- "exercise Internet stewardship and the values expressed in RFC 2050"

- We worked for language that ensured that applicants for transfer would have to meet the existing policies of both RIRs, and that also required that those policies required that current and subequent transfers were needs based, but failed to find consensus verbiage.

- I was convinced that if ARIN simply maintained a list of which other RIRs were maintaining policies that met the above description and were therefore operating on a needs basis, then only a single reference would need to be checked in order to approve a transfer. This type of cross-RIR analysis of policy is consistent with current practice.

Biggest Issues

- The AC discussed the need to include explicit statements about RIR involvement and considerable language was proposed, but ultimately concluded that simply stating that the associated RIRs would agree to the transfer was sufficient to establish them as necessary intermediaries.

Biggest Issues

- What happens if this Draft Policy were adopted as policy in the ARIN region, but it could not attain a status of 'globally coordinated' across all RIRs?

- The AC determined that irrespective of the title, the policy would stand alone as a statement of the willingness of the ARIN Region to allow inter-RIR transfers, and would be effective with any other RIR which chose to do likewise.

Current NRPM

My apology to the community…This suggested revision to the NRPM did not make it to the PPML in advance or to your packets. This was my oversight as a shepherd. I will make it clear how I believe the Draft Proposal should be inserted into the NRPM. I believe it is straight forward and should not pose a concern.

8.3. Transfers to Specified Recipients

In addition to transfers under section 8.2, IPv4 number resources within the ARIN region may be released to ARIN by the authorized resource holder, in whole or in part, for transfer to another specified organizational recipient. Such transferred number resources may only be received under RSA by organizations that are within the ARIN region and can demonstrate the need for such resources, as a single aggregate, in the exact amount which they can justify under current ARIN policies.

Proposed NRPM Modification

8.3. Transfers to Specified Recipients

In addition to transfers under section 8.2, IPv4 number resources within the ARIN region may be released to ARIN by the authorized resource holder, in whole or in part, for transfer to another specified organizational recipient.

8.3.1 Transfers within ARIN region

Such transferred number resources may only be received under RSA by organizations that are within the ARIN region and can demonstrate the need for such resources, as a single aggregate, in the exact amount which they can justify under current ARIN policies.

8.3.2 Transfers to/from ARIN region

Any RIR's resource registrant may transfer IPv4 addresses to the resource registrant of another RIR as long as the two RIRs agree and maintain compatible, needs-based transfer policies that exercise Internet stewardship consistent with the values expressed in RFC2050.

This is an Important Issue

- It is my opinion that this is an important issue for ARIN and the global community.

- It is an appropriate exercise in policy that aids the availability of IPv4 number resources for those needing them, from wherever they may become available.

- I urge that you now consider this Draft Policy expressing your concise support or concern so that when the AC must decide its fate, this Draft Policy will be positioned for its obvious and best disposition