APPPPP

ARIN Public Policy Policy Process (a Proposal)

formal name:

Internet Resource Policy Evaluation Process

Scott Bradner
sob@harvard.edu

Principles

◆ anyone can submit a policy proposal

◆ major AC role

AC can support, not support, or (with authors) modify or combine proposals

low curb escape process if AC does not support

◆ proposal posted to list before PP meeting

◆ discussion at PP meeting

next steps depend on PP meeting discussion

final Last-Call for comments

◆ BoT does final adoption

Submitting a Policy Proposal

◆ anyone can submit a proposal (including AC)
  form for guidance?

◆ proposal sent to policy@arin.net

◆ posted to ARIN web site as-is
  on page that says these are suggested proposals
  does not get a proposal #

◆ proposal must include
  proposal contact point
  proposed policy
  arguments for policy
  proposed implementation timetable

AC role

◆ AC does initial review of suggested proposals

   review with ARIN council

   can support as-is

   can work with authors to

      reword for clarity

      combine proposals

   can decide to not support

   supported proposals get posted to ARIN web site & announced on PP list

◆ petition-based posting if AC does not support

   4 additional people must support

Timing Sequence

◆ N days before PP meeting

   suggested policies must be submitted

◆ 30 days before PP meeting

   proposed policies posted to PP list

◆ PP meeting

   proposed policies discussed

◆ within N days after meeting

   AC reviews results of PP meeting discussions

   can work with authors to revise based on PP discussions

Timing Sequence, contd.

- ◆ day N

  final proposals posted to ARIN web site

  "last-call" issued on PP mailing list

  petition-based posting if AC does not support (x% of number of people at PP meeting?)

- ◆ day N+10 (or more)

  Last-Call can be extended if active discussion

  AC evaluates results of last-call

  proposal may need revision - if so recycle above

  if AC sees consensus for proposal, forwards to BoT with specific recommendation for BoT action

Timing Sequence, contd.

◆ BoT review

　　considers comments from last-Call

　　can accept AC recommendation for action

　　　adopt proposal, reject proposal

　　can return proposal to AC for further consideration

　　　goes back into process before Last-Call

◆ BoT can suspend in-effect policy if new credible information of flaws in policy

　　public statement

　　return to AC for review

Mailing List Consensus

◆ from RFC 2418

> In the case where a consensus which has
> been reached during a face-to-face meeting
> is being verified on a mailing list the
> people who were in the meeting and
> expressed agreement must be taken into
> account. If there were 100 people in a
> meeting and only a few people on the
> mailing list disagree with the consensus of
> the meeting then the consensus should be
> seen as being verified.

◆ good info on PP meeting discussions required

  maybe video?