



Fee Structure Review Panel

Goal: "To consider the various long-term fee structures that were suggested by the community on this topic and to summarize their pros/cons in a report back to ARIN Board and community"

Status: Initial draft of the Fee Structure Review Report is completed

- Balanced document describing each of the various approaches to how ARIN might structure its fees going forward.
- To be used to provide a common basis for discussion of the merits of various approaches - does not provide a recommendation.
- Covers seven alternative approaches for recovery of ARIN's costs from all contracted parties receiving registration services in a fair and equitable manner.



Fee Structure Review Panel Report

The fee structure proposals considered in the report:

- 1. Current ARIN Fee Structure
- 2. Extending IPv4 Fee Categories Proposal
- 3. Linear Fee Categories Proposal
- 4. Realign IPv6 Categories Proposal
- 5. Algorithmic Fee Proposal
- 6. Membership-based Proposal
- 7. Transaction-based Proposal

For each proposal, included is a short summary of the proposed fee structure including how fees would differ among those receiving ARIN registration services, the potential benefits of the proposed fee structure, and potential issues or concerns arising from proposed fee structure



Fee Structure Review - Process

- Finalize and release Fee Structure Review Report end of May 2014
- Online community discussion
- Fee Structure session and discussion at October 2014 ARIN Meeting
- ARIN Board Finance Committee review of discussion and development of specific fee change proposal if needed
- Initiation of community consultation process for fee schedule change (6 to 12 month process)



Fee Structure Review Panel – Members

- ARIN Board Finance Committee Members:
 - Paul Anderson (Chair)
 - Aaron Hughes
 - Bill Woodcock
 - John Curran
- At-Large ARIN Community Members:
 - Tim St. Pierre
 - Steve Feldman
 - Brandon Ross
 - Daniel Alexander
 - Michael Sinatra



Questions?