

Draft Policy ARIN-2025-3:

Change Section 9 Out Of Region Use Minimum Criteria

Doug Camin
Gerry George





Problem Statement

Current Text (25 March 2025)

Section 9 of the NRPM, Out of Region Use, requires organizations to use at least a /22 in the ARIN region before they can justify out of region use. This harms smaller organizations that have less than a /22 in region but do require some out of region use.

Policy Statement



Modify the following text in Section 9:

FROM:

IPv4: At least a /22 used in region.

TO:

IPv4: At least a /24 used in region.

Policy Statement (cont.)



RESULT:

Out of region use of ARIN registered resources are valid justification for additional number resources, provided that the applicant has a real and substantial connection with the ARIN region which applicant must prove (as described below) and is using the same type of resources (with a delegation lineage back to an ARIN allocation or assignment) within the ARIN service region as follows:

IPv4: At least a /24 used in region IPv6: At least a /44 used in region
ASN: At least one ASN present on one or more peering sessions and/or routers within the region

History



Action	Date
Proposal	13 February 2025
Draft Policy	25 March 2025

Community Feedback



- Feedback on the Public Policy Mailing List has been generally supportive.
- ARIN 55 feedback was mixed
 - Three were in support
 - Two were opposed
- Supportive feedback centered on registrations moving out of ARIN region when minimum not met.
- Opposed feedback centered on already low requirement to meet minimum viable redundant network threshold.



Policy Impact

- Changing this minimum value would impact how much IPv4 space an organization must utilize inside the ARIN region to justify additional IPv4 allocations from ARIN's IPv4 Waiting List (section 4.1.8)
- New waiting list IP space could be requested and used out of region with only one qualifying /24 in use inside the ARIN region instead of /22.

Questions for the Community



- Are you in favor of the policy as written?
- If you are not, is there any revision that would be acceptable?